THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MERRIMACK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

Docket No. 03-E-0106

In the Matter of the Liquidation of The Home Insurance Company

LIQUIDATOR'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF 2013 COMPENSATION PLANS

Roger A. Sevigny, Commissioner of Insurance for the State of New Hampshire, as Liquidator ("Liquidator") of The Home Insurance Company ("Home"), hereby moves that the Court enter an order approving integrated compensation plans for the employees of Home in 2013 (the "2013 Employee Compensation Plans") and a compensation and incentive/retention plan in 2013 (the "Special Deputy Plan") for Peter A. Bengelsdorf, the Special Deputy Liquidator of Home (the "Special Deputy Liquidator") (collectively, the "Plans"). Summaries of the incentive components of the 2013 Employee Compensation Plans are attached as Exhibits A and B as well as the related Ernst & Young LLP ("E & Y") advisory letter dated October 19, 2012 which is attached as Exhibit C. A summary of the Special Deputy Plan is provided in the Liquidator's Affidavit and in the E & Y advisory letter concerning the Special Deputy Plan dated October 19, 2012, which is attached as Exhibit D. The 2013 Employee Compensation Plans consist of annual salary programs supplemented by an Annual Incentive Plan ("Annual Plan") (Exhibit A) and a Collection Incentive Plan ("Collection Plan") (Exhibit B). The Special Deputy Plan provides compensation for services rendered on an hourly basis as well as an incentive/retention program. The Plans are intended to reward performance and reinforce retention of essential employees and the Special Deputy Liquidator in order to facilitate the

successful, efficient and prompt completion of the liquidation process. The structure of the Plans is substantially the same as originally proposed and approved in 2004 and each year thereafter although the number of employees eligible to participate in the Annual Plan was reduced to 15 by 2012, and in that same year the Special Deputy Liquidator's base compensation was capped. The Plans and their estimated 2013 cost have been reviewed with the National Conference of Insurance Guaranty Fund's Subcommittee on Home which has advised that it has no objection to this Court's approval of the Plans. In support hereof, the Liquidator respectfully represents as follows:

1. Liquidation Staff for Home. As described in the Liquidator's reports and the Liquidator's Motion for Approval of Compensation Plans dated April 5, 2004 (concerning the 2004 compensation plans) (the "2004 Compensation Motion"), shortly after the liquidation proceeding began in June 2003, the Liquidator determined that the most efficient way to organize the liquidation process was to hire the most critical Risk Enterprise Management ("REM") employees. This permitted the Liquidator to benefit from the continued involvement of experienced employees with prior involvement with the Home runoff. The Liquidator initially hired 98 employees (93 from REM and 5 others) to handle the liquidation of Home. The liquidation is presently staffed by 63 employees, 52 of whom are located in New York City, 10 in Manchester, New Hampshire, and 1 in Atlanta, Georgia. Affidavit of Peter A. Bengelsdorf, Special Deputy Liquidator, in Support of Approval of 2013 Compensation Plans ("Bengelsdorf Aff.") ¶ 3.

2. <u>The Special Deputy Liquidator</u>. The Special Deputy Liquidator was recruited from private industry and appointed to manage the operations of the liquidation.¹ The Special

¹ The Special Deputy Liquidator also served as Special Deputy Commissioner during Home's rehabilitation.

Deputy Liquidator is a consultant to the Liquidator, not an employee of Home. The terms of his engagement are described in a June 11, 2003 Consulting Agreement which was approved by the Court on June 30, 2003 (the "Consulting Agreement"). The Consulting Agreement remains in effect until terminated. The Special Deputy Liquidator does not participate in the incentive compensation plans for employees of Home, nor does he receive any health and welfare, retirement or severance benefits from Home. As an independent contractor, he pays the full Social Security tax (employer and employee share) on his compensation. Pursuant to the Consulting Agreement, the Special Deputy Liquidator was paid base compensation at an hourly rate of \$250 from 2003 through 2011 and \$285 beginning in 2012 when his total base compensation was capped at \$600,000. The Special Deputy Liquidator was eligible to receive an annual incentive award of \$400,000 during 2004 and 2005; \$300,000 during 2006, 2007 and 2008; \$200,000 during 2009 and 2010; and \$175,000 in 2011 and 2012 as well as an annual "Stay Bonus" of \$400,000 during each such year. The reductions in potential annual incentive bonus amounts were at the Special Deputy Liquidator's request. Affidavit of Roger A. Sevigny, Liquidator, in Support of Approval of Compensation Plan for the Special Deputy Liquidator ("Sevigny Aff.") \P 3.

3. <u>The Retention of Experienced Employees and the Special Deputy Liquidator</u> <u>Benefits Creditors</u>. Home operated internationally and specialized in affording complex forms of insurance to large enterprises. Due to the sophisticated nature of Home's insurance products, operations and supporting reinsurance programs, an experienced and stable liquidation staff operating under the management of a well-qualified and competent Special Deputy Liquidator will materially contribute to the efficient collection of assets and adjudication of claims. This is illustrated by the increase in Home's liquid invested assets from the day the Order of

Rehabilitation was entered, approximately \$12.7 million as of March 2003, to an estimated \$1.4 billion as of September 30, 2012. (These figures include USI Re, \$222 million of Class II early access distributions to guaranty associations to date and \$48 million in Class I distributions to guaranty associations.) Most of this increase is attributable to a combination of reinsurance recoveries and other financial settlements negotiated by the Special Deputy Liquidator and Home's experienced staff. Maximizing the prompt collection of assets advantages Home's creditors and is one of the principal statutory goals of the liquidation. RSA 402-C:25, VI. The Liquidator believes that this objective can be facilitated through an alignment of creditor interests with the interests of Home's employees. Sevigny Aff. ¶ 4; Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 4.

4. <u>Performance Based Compensation Plans are Appropriate for Large Insurer</u> <u>Receiverships</u>. The Liquidator seeks to continue to provide compensation consistent with best practices with respect to compensation in insurance company liquidations, provide competitive annual and long-term earnings opportunities and balance performance-based rewards with shortterm and long-term retention. Sevigny Aff. ¶ 5. As set forth in the 2004 Compensation Motion, the Liquidator engaged nationally recognized compensation consultants to assist in the design of employee compensation plans for 2004. The consultants had experience in the design of compensation plans for large insurers, like Home, in liquidation. They concluded that Home's base salaries were approximately at the 50th percentile among comparable companies and recommended that total direct compensation (base salary and incentive bonuses) range between the 50th and 75th percentile. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 5. E & Y also reviewed the scope and duties of the Special Deputy Liquidator position and, based on its experience in working with other companies in liquidation and distressed situations as well as "healthy" companies, identified comparable positions against which to evaluate market competitiveness of the Special Deputy

Plan. The overall compensation framework includes compensation and incentive/retention components designed to align incentives to the Special Deputy Liquidator with liquidation goals. Sevigny Aff. ¶ 5.

5. <u>The Three 2004 Employee Compensation Programs</u>. To retain and compensate the necessary staff for Home, the Liquidator accordingly developed and requested approval for three integrated compensation plans for 2004: a Retention Incentive Plan for non-exempt full time employees, an Annual Incentive Plan for exempt full time employees including executives, and a Collection Incentive Plan for executives. As set forth in the 2004 Compensation Motion, the Liquidator's consultants advised that the plans represented best practices with respect to compensation in insurance company liquidations, provided competitive annual and long-term earnings opportunities, and balanced performance-based rewards with short-term and long-term retention. The individual programs were integrated across employee levels and would provide, if performance goals were met or exceeded, total direct compensation between the 50th and 75th percentile market levels. This was the level of compensation recommended by the Liquidator's consultants in order to retain experienced employees. The Court approved the compensation plans for 2004 by order issued April 21, 2004 and the similar 2005 compensation plans by order dated March 4, 2005. Bengelsdorf Aff. **1** 6.

6. <u>The Proposed 2013 Employee Compensation Plans are Based on the 2006</u> <u>Compensation Plans</u>. After consulting with E & Y in 2006, the Liquidator proposed to eliminate the Retention Incentive Plan and continue the Annual Plan and Collection Incentive Plan on essentially the same terms as in 2005. The Court approved the 2006 Compensation Plans, including the elimination of the Retention Incentive Plan, by order dated February 8, 2006. During 2004 and 2005 the Retention Incentive Plan applied to Home's 15 non-exempt (Federal

Wage and Hour Law) employees. Beginning in 2006 those employees had individual performance goals and were included in the Annual Plan. The proposed 2013 Employee Compensation Plans are based on the 2006 Compensation Plans. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 7.

7. <u>The 2013 Employee Compensation Plans</u>. The Liquidator seeks to continue to provide compensation consistent with best practices with respect to compensation in insurance company liquidations, provide competitive annual and long-term earnings opportunities and balance performance-based rewards with short-term and long-term retention. The 2013 Employee Compensation Plans therefore consist of annual base salary programs supplemented, in certain cases, by the Annual Plan and the Collection Plan. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 8.

a. *Annual Plan.* This plan is designed to provide additional cash compensation based on the overall performance of Home's liquidation and the individual employee during the annual plan cycle. Fifteen full time employees as of January 1, 2013, would be eligible to participate in the Annual Plan. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 8.a.

i. The Annual Plan was a component of the 2004 Employee Compensation Plans. For 2011, the Liquidator proposed to reduce participation in the Annual Plan by eliminating participation for employees with base salaries less than \$75,000. In lieu of the Annual Plan, up to 70% of the amount that would otherwise be paid in incentive payments to these employees was used to increase their salaries and the remainder was applied toward the annual 401(k) safe harbor contribution. This change, which did not increase total expenses, was based on the conclusion that, in the prevailing circumstances, the nature of these positions was such that the affected employees had less ability to directly affect operating results. Compensation based solely on annual salary was therefore deemed most appropriate. The court approved this change in an order dated December 30, 2010. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 8.a.i.

ii. For 2012 the Liquidator proposed to further reduce participation in the Annual Plan by eliminating participation for employees with base salary less than \$150,000. This change was based on the conclusion that, in continuation of the trend underlying the 2011 changes to the Annual Plan, the nature of these positions is such that they have less ability to directly affect operating results. As a result, compensation based solely on annual salary was therefore deemed most appropriate and, in lieu of the Annual Plan the Liquidator proposed that up to 60% of the amount that would otherwise be paid in incentive payments to these employees would be used to increase their salaries and the remainder would be applied toward the annual 401(k) safe harbor contribution. The court approved this change in an order dated January 25, 2012. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 8.a.ii.

iii. The Liquidator proposes to maintain in 2013 the same eligibility
criteria that applied in 2012. As with the Annual Plan for preceding years, for 2013 the
Liquidator would determine the annual goals, performance measures and payouts. The 2013
goals would include: operation within budget, accomplishment of enumerated claim
determination processing objectives and reaching asset marshaling targets. Annual cash
payments would be made after the close of the performance year (no later than March 15, 2014).
If an employee voluntarily leaves or is terminated for cause, then no Annual Plan payment would
be made. In the event of death, disability or an involuntary termination, the employee would be
entitled to a pro rata share of any Annual Plan payment. The estimated 2013 cost for the Annual
Plan is approximately \$1.17 million (compared with \$1.17 million estimated to be paid for 2012,
\$1.58 million paid for 2011, \$1.73 million paid for 2010, \$1.86 million paid for 2009, \$2.29
million paid for 2008, \$2.23 million paid for 2007, \$2.28 million paid for 2006, \$2.28 million

b. Collection Plan. At the discretion of the Liquidator, the seven senior executives of Home would be eligible to participate in the Collection Plan. The Collection Plan is designed to provide focused incentives for the collection of assets, determination of claims and management of the liquidation in an efficient manner. Awards under this plan will be based on the accomplishment of annual corporate targets but may also vary, at the discretion of the Liquidator, based on achievement of individual performance goals. The objective of the Collection Plan, through deferred compensation, is to retain senior and experienced executives as long as deemed necessary by the Liquidator. Therefore, any Collection Plan award will be deferred and funded into a trust account. The employee will actually receive those funds only upon the involuntary termination of employment other than for cause, or at the dates established by the Liquidator (e.g., an interim 40% payout at July 1, 2015 and 60% payout at July 1, 2017). If an employee voluntarily terminates or is terminated for cause, then all Collection Plan amounts are forfeited. In the event of death or disability, the Collection Plan amounts will be distributed. The estimated 2013 cost for the Collection Plan is approximately \$844,809 (compared with \$844,809 estimated to be paid for 2012, \$895,145 paid for both 2010 and 2011, \$1.06 million paid for 2009, \$1.32 million paid for 2008, \$1.31 million paid for 2007, \$1.45 million paid for 2006, \$1.51 million paid for 2005, and \$1.48 million paid for 2004). This figure includes a 2012 reduction of \$5,000 in Collection Plan eligibility for each of two executives whose compensation was considered to be highly competitive at the median market level. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 8.b.

8. <u>Market Comparability of Home's 2013 Employee Compensation Plans</u>. The Liquidator's consultant, E & Y, advises that the 2013 Employee Compensation Plans are appropriate and consistent with general market practices and to insurance companies in

liquidation. It further advises that the individual plan designs and mechanics are based upon accepted compensation practices for insurance companies in liquidation, and that the levels of pay provided by the individual plans, as well as the overall total compensation, represent market competitive compensation levels.² Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 9.

9. <u>Home's Non-Contributory 401(k) Plan Safe Harbor Payment</u>. The cost of the estimated 2013 safe harbor contribution (\$231,000) has been given consideration in determining the total 2013 compensation budget (including incentive compensation). As described in the Liquidator's reports, Home adopted a non-contributory 401(k) plan effective October 1, 2004. Further, effective January 1, 2005, Home adopted the safe harbor provision under Internal Revenue Service rules so that all employees who wish to do so may contribute the maximum amount to the 401(k) plan. The cost of adopting the safe harbor provision is three percent of employees' earnings (up to an individual employee earnings cap of \$255,000). That cost has been applied to reduce the budgeted amounts for 2013 otherwise payable as compensation (including incentive compensation). Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 10.

10. <u>Purposes of the Proposed Special Deputy Plan</u>. The proposed 2013 Special Deputy Plan is described in the E & Y letter and has four primary objectives. First, it recognizes the Special Deputy Liquidator's role as top executive of the Home liquidation operation. Although an independent contractor, the Special Deputy Liquidator works at least the hours of a full time employee and, because he is responsible for Home's day-to-day operations he has more responsibility than any other employee of Home. He provides similar services, at no cost to

 $^{^{2}}$ E & Y's analysis has historically been based on national data and E & Y continues to use such data in analyzing the market competitiveness of compensation for Home's seven senior executives. For Home's remaining key employees, however, E & Y determined to analyze 2012 compensation on the basis of data for the region in which these employees work. For 2013, E & Y has continued to compare compensation for the seven senior executives to national data and compensation for the remaining key employees to regional data. See Ex. C.

Home, respecting certain other pending New Hampshire insurer receiverships. Second, the Plan acknowledges the Special Deputy Liquidator's significant accomplishments to date as evidenced by the large increase in Home's cash and liquid invested assets and the resolution of numerous business issues as described in the Liquidator's quarterly reports. Third, the Special Deputy Plan aligns the Special Deputy Liquidator's incentives with those of Home's creditors and the Liquidator's goals for Home. Specifically, the Special Deputy Liquidator must marshal assets of Home; hire and maintain Home's staff; prepare and file timely and accurate reports for the Liquidator (and ultimately with the Court); and operate Home in a cost-effective manner. Fourth, the Special Deputy Plan is intended to provide the Special Deputy Liquidator with compensation consistent with competitive market positioning in relation to Home's current executive team. Sevigny Aff. ¶ 6.

11. <u>The Proposed 2013 Special Deputy Plan</u>. The Special Deputy Plan consists of three components: base compensation, an annual incentive bonus structure, and a "Stay Bonus":

a. *Base Compensation*. From 2003 through 2011, the Special Deputy Liquidator's base compensation was calculated by applying a \$250 per hour rate to the number of hours worked and billed. The 2012 Special Deputy Plan proposed an adjustment to the structure of the Special Deputy Liquidator's base compensation such that his hourly rate increased to \$285 and his total base compensation was capped at \$600,000. This adjustment was approved by the court in an order dated January 25, 2012. In 2013, the Liquidator proposes to increase the Special Deputy Liquidator's hourly rate to \$325 but to continue the structure of the 2012 Special Deputy Plan whereby base compensation is still capped at \$600,000 and contingent on the Special Deputy Liquidator working 2,100 hours between January 1, 2013 and December 31, 2013. The Special Deputy Liquidator would be paid twelve monthly installments of \$50,000. If he works

fewer than 2,100 hours, an amount equal to the shortfall in hours multiplied by the \$325 hourly rate would be deducted from the "Stay Bonus" otherwise payable to him. If the Special Deputy Liquidator works more than 2,100 hours then no additional base compensation would be payable and there would be no adjustment to his "Stay Bonus". The increase in the Special Deputy Liquidator's hourly rate is intended to better reflect the market rate but will not increase the Special Deputy Liquidator's compensation or Home's expenses. Sevigny Aff. ¶ 7.a.

b. *Annual Incentive*. The Special Deputy Plan provides an annual incentive bonus structure ("AI"). As with the AI component of the Special Deputy Liquidator's compensation plans from 2004 through 2011, the Liquidator will set annual goals for the Special Deputy Liquidator (e.g., success in marshaling assets, organization performance within budget, implementation of an effective claim determination operation, obtaining an appropriate independent auditor opinion, timely and accurate reporting to the Liquidator and the Court throughout the performance year). After the end of the year, the Liquidator will evaluate the Special Deputy Liquidator's performance with respect to each of those goals and determine the AI bonus based upon those accomplishments. The 2013 AI provides the Special Deputy Liquidator with an opportunity to earn an AI bonus of \$150,000 (\$25,000 less than the AI bonus available in 2012 and 2011 and down further from the AI bonus of \$200,000 in 2010 and 2009, \$300,000 in 2008, 2007, and 2006, and \$400,000 in 2005 – each of these reductions being made at the Special Deputy Liquidator's request). Sevigny Aff. ¶ 7.b.

c. "*Stay Bonus*". Pursuant to his compensation plans from 2004 through 2012, the Special Deputy Liquidator has received a "Stay Bonus" of \$400,000. The "Stay Bonus" provides a cash incentive to this senior and experienced insurance industry executive and encourages him to remain with Home. As proposed in the 2013 Special Deputy Plan, a "Stay

Bonus" covering a twelve month period from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013 of \$400,000 (adjustable as discussed above in subparagraph a) is payable on December 20, 2013.³ Sevigny Aff. ¶ 7.c.

12. <u>Annual Renewal of the AI and "Stay Bonus"</u>. Prior to 2008, the term of the Consulting Agreement between the Liquidator and Mr. Bengelsdorf had been continuous until terminated but the term of the AI and "Stay Bonus" was annual. The AI and "Stay Bonus" had been negotiated and agreed upon each year but were not always submitted and approved before January 1 of the applicable year. This left a gap between the end of the performance year and the effective date of the next year's plan, creating substantial risk to Mr. Bengelsdorf and his estate in the event of his death or disability during the interim. In order to avoid such unintended consequences from a gap in entitlement to the AI and "Stay Bonus," in 2008 the Special Deputy Plan provided for the AI and "Stay Bonus" to remain in effect but be subject to annual review by the Liquidator and approval by the Court. If the Special Deputy Plan were to be terminated by the Liquidator or not approved for continuation by the Court, Mr. Bengelsdorf would receive a pro rata benefit. Sevigny Aff. ¶ 8.

13. <u>Market Competitiveness of the Proposed Special Deputy Plan</u>. E & Y reviewed the scope and duties of the Special Deputy Liquidator position and, based on its experience in working with other companies in liquidation and distressed situations as well as "healthy" companies, identified comparable positions against which to evaluate market competitiveness of the 2013 Special Deputy Plan. E & Y advises that a competitive compensation level is one that approximates 85%-115% of the targeted market level (typically a range between the 50th and 75th

³ In the event of death or disability both the AI bonus and the Stay Bonus are paid in full. In the event the Special Deputy Liquidator is terminated without cause or the Special Deputy Plan is terminated or not renewed, such bonuses will be pro-rated.

percentile). As stated in its advisory letter (Exhibit D), E & Y found that the Special Deputy Liquidator's proposed 2013 total direct compensation (or TDC, defined as base salary plus annual incentive and "Stay Bonus") after adjustment for the absence of benefits is significantly below the market median (50^{th} percentile) and is significantly less than competitive. The Special Deputy Liquidator's proposed TDC is less competitive than the total direct compensation for Home's other top executives, which is between the 50^{th} and 75^{th} percentiles. See, Exhibit C. E & Y further advises that the proposed Special Deputy Plan provides variable or performancebased compensation while also encouraging a continuation of the existing working relationship. Sevigny Aff. ¶ 9.

14. <u>The Liquidator's Consultant Advises that the Proposed Plans are Appropriate</u>. The Liquidator's consultant, E & Y, advises that the 2013 Employee Compensation Plans are appropriate and consistent with general market practices and to insurance companies in liquidation. E & Y also concludes that the overall levels of pay provided by the individual incentive plans, as well as the overall total compensation, represent market competitive compensation levels. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 11. The 2013 Special Deputy Plan compensation, in E & Y's opinion, represents total direct compensation significantly below the competitive range of median market levels. Nevertheless, these terms are acceptable to the Special Deputy Liquidator. Sevigny Aff. ¶ 10.

15. <u>The Plans Are Necessary</u>. The Liquidator believes that without the adoption of these plans the liquidation effort would be harmed because key employees would seek better, more long-term career opportunities elsewhere while the services and experience of the Special Deputy Liquidator might be lost. See Sevigny Aff. ¶ 11; Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 12.

16. <u>The Liquidator's Authority to Set the Terms of Employment</u>. The Liquidator has authority under RSA 402-C:25, II, and paragraph (r) of the Order of Liquidation issued June 13, 2003, to engage employees and set the terms of their compensation "subject to the control of the court." The Liquidator also has authority pursuant to RSA 402-C: 25, IV, to use the property of Home and to defray the costs of collecting its assets and liquidating its property and business.

17. <u>The Liquidator's Authority to Appoint a Special Deputy Liquidator</u>. The Liquidator has authority under RSA 402-C: 25, I and paragraph (t) of the Liquidation Order entered June 13, 2003, to appoint a special deputy and determine his or her compensation "subject to the court's control." The Liquidator also has authority pursuant to RSA 402-C: 25, IV to use the property of Home to defray the costs of collecting its assets and liquidating its property and business.

18. <u>The Plans are Fair and Reasonable</u>. For the reasons described above, in the Sevigny Affidavit and in the Bengelsdorf Affidavit, the Liquidator submits that the Plans are fair and reasonable and in the best interests of the liquidation and of the policyholders and other creditors of Home.

WHEREFORE, the Liquidator requests that the Court enter an order in the form

submitted herewith approving the Plans and grant such other and further relief as may be just.

Respectfully submitted,

ROGER A. SEVIGNY, COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, AS LIQUIDATOR OF THE HOME INSURANCE COMPANY,

By his attorneys,

MICHAEL A. DELANEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

J. Christopher Marshall NH Bar ID No. 1619 Civil Bureau New Hampshire Department of Justice 33 Capitol Street Concord, N.H. 03301-6397 (603) 271-3650

faried Lein

J. David Leslie NH Bar ID No. 16859 Eric A. Smith NH Bar ID No. 16952 Rackemann, Sawyer & Brewster 160 Federal St. Boston, MA 02110 (617) 542-2300

November 20, 2012

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion for Approval of 2013 Compensation Plans, the Affidavit of Roger A. Sevigny, Liquidator, the Affidavit of Peter A. Bengelsdorf, Special Deputy Liquidator, and the proposed form of order were sent, this 20th day of November, 2012, by first class mail, postage prepaid to all persons on the attached service list.

J. David Leslie

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MERRIMACK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

In the Matter of the Liquidation of The Home Insurance Company Docket No. 03-E-0106

SERVICE LIST

Lisa Snow Wade, Esq. Orr & Reno One Eagle Square P.O. Box 3550 Concord, New Hampshire 03302-3550

Gary S. Lee, Esq. James J. DeCristofaro, Esq. Kathleen E. Schaaf, Esq. Morrison & Foerster 1290 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10104-0050

George T. Campbell, III, Esq. Robert A. Stein, Esq. Robert A. Stein & Associates, PLLC One Barberry Lane P.O. Box 2159 Concord, New Hampshire 03302-2159

David M. Spector, Esq. Dennis G. LaGory, Esq. Schiff Hardin LLP 6600 Sears Tower Chicago, Illinois 60606

Michael Cohen, Esq. Cohen & Buckley, LLP 1301 York Road Baltimore, Maryland 21093 David H. Simmons, Esq. Mary Ann Etzler, Esq. Daniel J. O'Malley, Esq. deBeaubien, Knight, Simmons, Mantzaris & Neal, LLP 332 North Magnolia Avenue P.O. Box 87 Orlando, Florida 32801

Martin P. Honigberg, Esq. Sulloway & Hollis, P.L.L.C. 9 Capitol Street P.O. Box 1256 Concord, New Hampshire 03302-1256

Richard Mancino, Esq. Willkie Farr & Gallagher, LLP 787 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019

Joseph G. Davis, Esq. Willkie Farr & Gallagher, LLP 1875 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Albert P. Bedecarre, Esq. Quinn Emanuel Urguhart Oliver & Hedges, LLP 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111

Jeffrey W. Moss, Esq. Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP 225 Franklin Street 16th Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Gerald J. Petros, Esq. Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP 50 Kennedy Plaza, Suite 1500 Providence, Rhode Island 02903

Christopher H.M. Carter, Esq. Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP 11 South Main Street, Suite 400 Concord, New Hampshire 03301 Robert M. Horkoviceh Robert Y. Chung Anderson Kill & Olick, P.C. 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020

Andrew B. Livernois Ransmeier & Spellman, P.C. One Capitol Street P.O. Box 600 Concord, New Hampshire 03302-0600

John A. Hubbard 615 7th Avenue South Great Falls, Montana 59405

Adebowale O. Osijo 2015 East Pontiac Way, Suite 209 Fresno, California 93726

Paul W. Kalish, Esq. Ellen M. Farrell, Esq. Kristine E. Nelson, Esq. Crowell & Moring 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-2595

Harry L. Bowles 306 Big Hollow Lane Houston, Texas 77042

Gregory T. LoCasale. Esq. White and Williams, LLP One Liberty Place, Suite 1800 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-7395

Kyle A. Forsyth, Esq. Commercial Litigation Branch Civil Division United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 875 Washington, D.C. 20044-0875

| The Home |
|----------------------|
| Home Insurance Compa |
| S. |
| in Liquidation |
| |

| Annual |
|-------------|
| Incentive 1 |
| Plan (|
| ("AIP") |

| Component | Plan Design |
|------------------|--|
| Administration | Plan to be administered by the Liquidator who retains the authority to interpret the Plan, to establish or revise the Plan rules and policies, and to make any determinations necessary to administer the Plan including individual award determinations, funding, and distributions/payouts. |
| Term | Annual plan, renewable at the discretion of the Liquidator. |
| Effective Date | January 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013 |
| Eligibility | Employees whose base salaries are greater than \$150,000 and who are employed full time as of January 1, 2013. In the case of a new hire, participation will be prorated for the Plan Year. All participants will be informed of their participation at the beginning of the Plan Year in writing. |
| | Eligible employees must be employed full time for no less than 90 days to fully participate in the Annual Plan Cycle. Payments will be pro rated in the event of a partial year of service. Eligibility and/or participation in this plan is not intended as a commitment by The Home Insurance Company in Liquidation for continued employment for the duration of the Plan Year. Participation is not to be construed as a guarantee of employment or any payments under the Plan. |
| Payment Currency | All awards under this Plan will be paid in cash via regular payroll, subject to all tax reporting and withholding. |
| | Employees must be employed full time as of the date checks are issued to receive payment under the Plan. |
| General Design | The Plan is designed to provide additional annual cash compensation based on the overall performance of Home and the individual eligible employee during the Annual Plan Cycle. Performance will be assessed in relation to annual goals as determined by the Liquidator. The Liquidator retains sole authority to determine annual goals, performance measures, and payouts. |

| Rules Dea date | | t Decision | Coordination with Pay employment offer emp letters the | Payout Frequency Pay | Ant of ti lette fina | Ani for coll trig of " | Elig ince | Component |
|-------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|-----------|
| | Death - a pro rata share of any AIP payment will be paid to the employee's estate at the next regular year-end payout date. | If employment is terminated due to: | Payouts under this Plan will be coordinated with any annual bonus/incentive payments provided in individual employment offer letters. Annual payments under this plan to any eligible participating employees will be computed as the greater of either the AIP payment or the payment specified in the individual employment offer letter. | Payouts are annual and will be made no later than 30 days following the release of unaudited annual financial results. | Annual performance goals for participating individuals may also include, at the discretion of the Liquidator, an individual component. Any individual performance goals will be defined at the outset of the plan year in the individual confirmation (of participation) letters. The relative weighting of these individual goals in relation to the total company financial goals will also be specified. | Annually, at the outset of the Plan Cycle, the Liquidator will set the annual corporate goals for this plan. Both a "threshold" (or minimum) and "target" (or expected) level of net cash collections will be defined. When the "threshold" level is attained, AIP payments will be triggered at 50% of the "target" payout defined for each participating position. Achievement of "target" results will trigger the "target" payout. Results above "target" will be prorated. | Eligible positions/employees will have the opportunity to earn an additional annual cash incentive payment under this Plan. Individual earnings opportunities will be based on position level as determined by the Liquidator. | |

| Involuntary termination "not for cause" c who are terminated involuntarily at the n the next regular payroll cycle following t | Involuntary termination "for cause" - no navments will be made to employees who are terminated "for |
|--|--|
| Involuntary termination "not for cause" or position elimination – a pro rata payment will be made to employees | who are terminated involuntarily at the next regular year end payout date or, at the Liquidator's discretion, paid out at the next regular payroll cycle following the termination date. |

| 2013 | The Home Insurance Company in Liquid: |
|------|---------------------------------------|
| | Liquidation |

| \frown |
|------------|
| <u> </u> |
| Collection |
| ~ |
| ¥ . |
| <u> </u> |
| Ξ. |
| 0 |
| Ē. |
| Ξ. |
| |
| 8 |
| Incentive |
| ×. |
| ¥ . |
| 2 |
| Ξ. |
| 7 |
| 2 |
| ε μ |
| Plan |
| P |
| 60 |
| = |
| |
| _ |
| ÷. |
| £., |
| 0 |
| ÷. |
| |
| . <u>.</u> |
| - 3 |
| \sim |
| |
| |

| Component Administration |
|------------------------------------|
| Administration |
| Term |
| Effective Date |
| Eligibility |
| Payment Currency |

| | Component |
|--|--|
| Invested prior to payment. This is not currently in place out in the Exploration of these chooses to imprement this option, he will provide appropriate notice to Participants. In such an event, Home will not guarantee the original award amount. A participant's allocation within the funded account will be distributed to participants at the close of the liquidation, or at a predetermined date set in the individual's employment offer letter, the Annual CIP letter or the Plan. Funds will be distributed or forfeited according to the Distribution Decision Rules noted below. Participants must take their allocated distribution from the incentive compensation Trust account at the time of distribution (assets cannot be held in the trust or rolled over to an IRA or other qualified pension plans). Distributions will be made in cash and will be subject to all normal tax reporting upon distribution. | The Trustee shall invest Trust assets so as to preserve principal, however if market conditions affect the principal |

| | An par be : cal | An | Tar wi aw sal | A, 1, de un | General Design Th the | Component | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|-----------|--|
| Funding of the trust account for participants will be annual and the distribution of the funds will be made according to | Annual performance goals for participating individuals may also include, at the discretion of the Liquidator, an individual component. Any individual performance goals will be defined at the outset of the Plan Year in the individual confirmation (of participation) letters. The relative weighting of these individual goals in relation to the total company financial goals will also be specified. Evaluation of results in relation to these individual goals will be made at year-end and integrated with the calculation of Annual Incentive Plan payouts. | Annual awards may vary from the target amount based on the sole discretion of the Liquidator in assessing annual performance under the Plan. | Target award levels will be defined and communicated at the outset of the Plan Year for all eligible participants. Target awards will be paid (i.e., funded into the Trust account as described herein) when the annual financial target(s) is achieved. Target awards for any participant may vary from Plan Year to year. Target awards will be defined in terms of a "percentage of base salary" and may vary from the target payout level based on company and individual performance. | Awards under this Plan will be based on annual financial results as determined by the Liquidator. For this Plan Cycle (January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013), the corporate goals are defined as net cash collected, expense control, and claim determination activity. Goals may vary in different Plan Cycles/Years. Annual goal(s) will be announced by the Liquidator at the outset of the Plan Year and communicated in writing to all eligible participants. Final results will be determined based on unaudited annual financial results at the end of the Plan Cycle. | The Plan is designed to serve as a retention incentive for senior executives to remain at Home through the successful close of the estate and to focus their energies on achieving the Liquidation's goals. | | |

| | | | | Distribution Decision Rules | Component |
|---|--|---|---|--|-----------|
| Involuntary termination "not for cause" or position elimination - if an employee is terminated "not for cause" or his/her position is eliminated during the course of the Liquidation the participant's allocated portion of the trust account will be distributed to the participant by the Trustee as soon as reasonably possible. In the case of any Plan Cycle which is not yet completed, payment shall be made as soon as reasonably possible following the conclusion of the Plan Cycle. Involuntary termination "for cause" - a | Voluntary resignation – a participant's allocated portion of the Trust account will be forfeited should the participating employee resign employment with Home prior to the close of the liquidation or other predetermined payout dates as specified in the Plan document, employment offer letter, or employee agreement. The Trustee will return all previously allocated funds for the ex-employee to Home. | Disability -accrual of benefits under this plan ceases when the employee is disabled for more than one calendar month; a pro rata share of any annual CIP award payment will be funded to the trust account at the next regular annual funding date. Employees can re-enter the Plan upon return to full time employment, in such a case, a pro rata share of the annual CIP award will be funded to the Trust's participating employee's account for the partial year. If the employee remains disabled and employment terminates pursuant to policy, such termination shall be deemed to be "not for cause" and payment for the participants allocated portion of the trust account payment shall be made as soon as reasonably possible following the conclusion of the Plan Cycle. | Death - all funds in the participant's allocated portion of the trust account will be paid to the individual's estate within 30 days of the Trustee receiving written notice of the employee's death. A pro rata share of the deceased employee's partial Plan Year participation will be paid to the estate at as soon as reasonably possible following the conclusion of the Plan Cycle. | Funds in the CIP trust account will be distributed as follows based on the conditions as stated below: | |

IFRNST&YOUNG

Ernst & Young LLP 5 Times Square New York, NY 10036

Tel: +1 404 874 8300 Fax: +1 866 305 5660 www.ey.com

Mr. Roger Sevianv In his capacity as Liquidator of The Home Insurance Company in Liquidation State of New Hampshire Insurance Department 21 South Fruit Street. Suite 14 Concord NH 03301-7317

19 October 2012

Dear Commissioner Sevigny:

As a part of our engagement with Home Insurance Company in Liquidation ("Home" or "the Company"). Ernst & Young LLP's (EY) Performance & Reward Practice has been asked to review the competitiveness of Home's current compensation levels to current market levels and provide a letter summarizing our findings. The information included in this letter is based upon our knowledge and extensive experience in advising (1) insurance companies in liquidation, (2) non-insurance companies in liquidation, (3) a broad cross-section of companies undergoing a financial restructuring, and (4) the results of the competitive market studies we have historically completed on behalf of Home.

The current compensation levels in place for Home's employees as a whole are consistent with market practices and our experience working with companies in liquidation. In identifying the competitive market, companies in liquidation typically focus on "healthy" company pay levels because they will continue to compete with healthy companies for talent during the liquidation process. Based upon our experience, companies in liquidation typically target base salaries at median (50th percentile) market levels and total cash compensation (or "TCC", defined as base salary plus annual incentives) at or above median market levels of healthy companies within their specific and broader industry segments. In addition to TCC. companies typically provide their Senior Management Group with long-term incentives ("LTI") that are designed to provide additional performance-based incentives that can result in total direct compensation (or "TDC", defined as TCC plus LTI) levels between 50th and 75th percentile market levels of healthy companies within their specific and/or broader industry segment.

HOME INSURANCE COMPANY IN LIQUIDATION

Background

As Home approaches its tenth year of liquidation, it is critical to retain the individuals who hold key positions. Once Home entered liquidation, the Company hired 95 executives and employees that were considered to be critical to the success of the liquidation and valuable to the Company due to their significant industry and Company experience. Since 2004, 32 employees terminated employment with Home, either voluntarily or due to a reduction in force. Presently, there are 63 employees who remain with Home.

Beginning in the fall of 2003, Ernst & Young performed a market competitiveness study by reviewing executive and employee compensation in healthy insurance companies of similar size and scope to Home. This study approach and methodology employed the most prevalent techniques for assessing market competitiveness for companies in liquidation.



Commissioner Sevigny State of New Hampshire Ins. Dept Page 2

Three of the commonly used incentive plan designs for insurance companies in liquidation were selected and customized to the specific needs of Home in 2004. These new plans included: (1) the Retention Incentive Plan ("RIP"), (2) the Annual Incentive Plan ("AIP"), and (3) the Collection Incentive Plan ("CIP") which is a long-term incentive plan. For the performance-based plans (AIP and CIP), performance measures were selected that were (a) consistent with market practices of similarly situated companies and (b) aligned with the overall objectives of Home's liquidation.

As is typical among companies in restructuring and liquidation, Home's top executives currently participate in the AIP and the CIP programs. Home eliminated the RIP program in 2006 and moved the remaining employees into the AIP. From 2006 to 2010, the Liquidator gradually began reducing participation in the AIP so that the program was focused on retaining key employees.

In 2011 (effective for FY 2012), the Liquidator decided to further reduce participation in the AIP program to include only the seven senior executives and eight other key employees. Home re-allocated up to 60% of the targeted AIP amount and reapplied it to base salary increases for those employees eliminated from the plan. The remaining 40% of the target AIP was used to fund annual 401(k) safe harbor contributions that Home makes each year. Under the new approach, Home did not incur any additional compensation cost in 2012. Home also reduced CIP by 5% for six of the seven Senior Executives and by an additional \$5,000 for two executives with highly competitive compensation levels.

Compensation Analysis & Findings

Generally, under EY's methodology, a level of pay that is 85% to 115% of the market consensus at the desired market position is considered competitive. This assumes that the incumbent has a moderate level of experience and is performing as expected. EY calculated the competitiveness of each incumbent's base salary, target TCC (Note: TCC was calculated for the Top 15 executives receiving AIP only), and target TDC (Note: TDC was calculated for the Top 7 Senior Executives only by dividing each component of pay by the market consensus at the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles). The published survey sources provide actual base salary and actual TCC data points for specific positions based on industry, asset size, etc., (trended to a specific date). The resulting percentage is used to categorize the competitiveness of compensation, as described by the following table:

| Incumbent Pay vs. Market Consensus | Degree of Competitiveness |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 115% + | Highly Competitive |
| 85% to 114.9% | Competitive |
| 75% to 84.9% | Less than Competitive |
| Less than 75% | Significantly less than Competitive |

Overall, Home's base salary (98.2%), target TCC (106.2%) and target TDC (104.0%) compensation levels are competitive compared to the median (50th percentile) of the competitive market. We suggest that the Company individually evaluate each incumbent relative to their indicated market compensation level to confirm that each individual's relative positioning to market is appropriate given the responsibility level, tenure and impact potential on Home's performance by the individual.

2013 Analysis Results (for FY 2013 Planning)

The numbers in bold and underlined are outside EY's methodology for a competitive range (refer to the chart above for degrees of competitiveness). Values in red are less than competitive or significantly less than competitive while values in blue are highly competitive.



Commissioner Sevigny State of New Hampshire Ins. Dept Page 3

| Home Data vs. Market | 25th Percentile | | | 50th Percentile (Median) | | | 75th Percentile | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------|--------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Home Data vs. Market | Base | тсс | TDC | Base | тсс | TDC | Base | тсс | TDC |
| 7 Senior Executives | <u>115.4%</u> | <u>147.6%</u> | <u>144.3%</u> | 93.7% | 111.3% | 104.0% | <u>76.0%</u> | <u>83.0%</u> | <u>74.0%</u> |
| Salary Grades 20-221 | <u>120.4%</u> | <u>130.3%</u> | N/A | 98.7% | 101.7% | N/A | <u>83.0%</u> | <u>81.9%</u> | N/A |
| Salary Grades 18-20 ² | 112.9% | N/A | N/A | 100.8% | N/A | N/A | 89.4% | N/A | N/A |
| Salary Grades 16-17 | 112.5% | N/A | N/A | 101.2% | N/A | N/A | 90.7% | N/A | N/A |

Top 7 Senior Executives:

For the 7 Senior Executives, Home's target compensation data, which represents base salaries and incentive awards, are compared to national published survey analysis results.

Competitiveness to Market: Overall, the competitiveness of target TDC to current market compensation levels is as follows:

- □ 25th Percentile: Target TDC for Top 7 is 44% above the 25th percentile (or 144.3% of 25th Percentile market), or is highly competitive compared to the 25th percentile market compensation levels
- **50th Percentile:** Target TDC for Top 7 is 4% above the market median, or is **competitive** compared to median market compensation levels.
- **75**th Percentile: Target TDC for the Top 7 is 26% below the 75th percentile, or is significantly less than competitive compared to the 75th percentile market compensation levels.

16 Key Employee Benchmarked Positions (18 incumbents):

For the key employees, Home's target compensation data (which represents base salaries and incentive awards, where applicable) is compared to regional published survey data analysis results. We have applied geographic differentials to better align the market data to the specific markets that Home's employees are based, namely New York, New Hampshire and Georgia.

Competitiveness to Market: Overall, the competitiveness of target Base or TCC, where applicable, to market levels is as follows:

- **25th** Percentile:
 - Salary grades 20 – 22¹: Target TCC is highly competitive at 30% above the 25th percentile.
 - Salary grades 18 20²: Target base is competitive at 13% above the 25th percentile.
 - Salary grades 16 17: Target base is competitive at 13% above the 25th percentile. •

50th Percentile:

- Salary grades 20 22¹: Target TCC is competitive at 1.7% above the median.
- Salary grades 18 20²: Target base is <u>competitive</u> at 1% above the median. ٠
- Salary grades 16 17: Target base is competitive at 1% above the median.

□ 75th Percentile:

Salary grades 20 – 22¹: Target TCC is less than competitive at 18% below the 75th percentile. •

¹ Includes incumbents in job grades 20 and 21 that participate in the AIP.

 $^{^{2}}$ Includes incumbents in job grades 20 that do not participate in the AIP.



Commissioner Sevigny State of New Hampshire Ins. Dept Page 4

- Salary grades 18 20²: Target base is <u>competitive</u> at 11% below the 75th percentile.
- Salary grades 16 17: Target base is <u>competitive</u> at 9% below the 75th percentile.

SUMMARY CONCLUSION

Based upon our experience, the estimated 2013 compensation levels for Home's employee's as a whole are appropriate and consistent with general market practices and to insurance companies in liquidation. We suggest that the Liquidator individually evaluate each incumbent relative to their indicated market compensation level to determine the appropriateness of individual variation from market.

The individual plan designs and mechanics that Home has employed over the last 91/2 years are based upon commonly accepted compensation practices for insurance companies in liquidation. Overall, the levels of pay provided by the individual incentive plans, as well as the overall total compensation, represent market competitive compensation levels.

In addition, turnover does not appear to be a present risk within the organization.

For additional supporting documentation and analyses please refer to the following list of appendices and supporting exhibits for more detailed information:

| List of Appendices and Exhibits | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|----|--|--|--|
| Appendix/Exhibit | Title | | | | |
| Exhibit 1 | Competitive Benchmark Matches | | | | |
| Exhibit 2 | Published survey exhibit with market pricing data for the Senior Executives (7 positions) | 7 | | | |
| Exhibit 3 | Published survey exhibit with market pricing data for the Other Key Employees (16 positions) | 10 | | | |

If you have any questions regarding this information please call Martha Cook at 404.817.5734 or Ana Fluke 216.583.4783.

Sincerely,

Ernst + Young LLP

Copies to: Peter Bengelsdorf - The Home Insurance Company in Liquidation Martha Cook, EY - Atlanta Ana Fluke, EY - Cleveland



Ernst & Young LLP 5 Times Square New York, NY 10036 Tel: +1 404 874 8300 Fax: +1 866 305 5660 www.ey.com

PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

19 October 2012

Mr. Roger Sevigny Commissioner of Insurance and Liquidator of The Home Insurance Company State of New Hampshire Insurance Department 21 South Fruit Street, Suite 14 Concord NH 03301-7317

Dear Commissioner Sevigny:

At your request, as Liquidator of The Home Insurance Company ("Home" or "the Company"), Ernst & Young LLP's (EY) Performance & Reward Practice has reviewed the competitiveness of Home's current compensation levels to typical market levels. As a part of this engagement, you also asked that we review, as we have for the past several years, the Special Deputy Liquidator's (Peter Bengelsdorf's) existing compensation arrangements relative to typical market levels. The purpose of this letter is to provide you with our findings concerning the competitiveness of the Special Deputy Liquidator's estimated compensation levels to comparative market levels using the same methodology employed for our update of Home's 23 benchmarked positions (detailed under separate cover).

Similar to the analysis conducted for Home's Senior Executives and other key employees, companies in liquidation typically focus on "healthy" company pay levels to determine appropriate market compensation levels for their Special Deputy Liquidators because they will continue to compete with healthy companies for talent during the liquidation process.

BACKGROUND

Beginning in the fall of 2003, Ernst & Young developed three incentive compensation programs for the executives and other employees of Home specifically designed to meet the needs of the liquidation operations. These plans, the Retention Incentive Plan (RIP), the Annual Incentive Plan (AIP), and the Collection Incentive Plan (CIP) were approved by the State of New Hampshire Superior Court (Court) on April 21, 2004 (please see Docket No. 03-E-0106). In addition, the Liquidator decided to submit the incentive and retention plans for annual approval by the Court. The Special Deputy Liquidator position does not participate in these incentive plans. The Liquidator is the administrator of the incentive and retention plans (now the AIP and CIP plans, only) and the Special Deputy Liquidator, by delegation, is responsible for monitoring the operation of the two plans. As such, it is appropriate for the Special Deputy Liquidator's compensation to be independent of these plans.

The Special Deputy Liquidator is the top executive of Home serving as an independent consultant to the State of New Hampshire and reporting directly to the Insurance Commissioner as Home's liquidator. We have reviewed the scope and duties of the Special Deputy Liquidator position and, based on our experience in working with other companies in liquidation and distressed situations as well as "healthy" companies, identified comparable positions against which to develop a market competitive compensation program for the Special Deputy Liquidator



Commissioner Seviany State of New Hampshire Ins. Dept Page 2

position. Similar to the 2012 Analysis the comparable position results in a blend of the CEO and COO.

The Special Deputy Liquidator is presently subject to a one year compensation plan which expires on December 31, 2012. We understand that beginning with 2013, Mr. Bengelsdorf's compensation continues as does his consulting agreement, unless terminated with thirty days' notice by either of the parties or if the Court does not approve its continuation. We also understand that you wish for us to continue providing annual assessments with respect to the competitiveness of the Special Deputy Liquidator's compensation plan since his plan will be submitted to the Court annually for review and approval of its continuation.

The proposed compensation plan for the Special Deputy Liquidator consists of Base Compensation, which is \$600,000 for 2013, payable at \$50,000/month with a minimum of 2,100 hours worked, a "Stay" Bonus of \$400,000 and a Performance Bonus of \$150,000. The summary below includes an assessment of the competitiveness of Mr. Bengelsdorf's proposed compensation plan for 2013.

Compensation Program Objectives

In 2003, an overall compensation framework for the Special Deputy Liquidator was developed based on four (4) primary objectives:

- 1. Recognize Mr. Bengelsdorf's role as the top executive of Home;
 - Preserve the position's consultant status but recognize that, in terms of time spent, Mr. Bengelsdorf is more than a full-time employee and is filling the role of the top executive.
- 2. Acknowledge significant contributions that have already occurred;

Acknowledge the significant amount of value that had already been contributed to the liquidation process by the Special Deputy Liquidator with liquid assets at March 5, 2003 of \$12.7 million rising to approximately \$1.45 billion (including USIRe). \$228.8 million of Class II early access distributions to guaranty associations, Class I distributions to guaranty associations of \$48 million.

- 3. Align incentives with the Liquidation's goals;
 - Provide Mr. Bengelsdorf with a structured incentive plan of performance objectives that aligns his objectives with Home's creditors.
 - Mr. Bengelsdorf's primary responsibilities are to: (1) effectively marshal assets of the estate, (2) hire and maintain an adequate staff, (3) file timely and appropriate reports on the Liquidation's status and (4) operate the Liquidation in a cost effective manner.
- 4. Use available comparable market compensation data;
 - Develop competitive market data consistent with Published Survey Analysis.
 - Remain consistent with competitive market positioning in relation to the current executive team.



Compensation Components (please see Exhibit I for details)

The current and estimated Total Direct Compensation (TDC) for the Special Deputy Liquidator position consists of three (3) components:

1. Base Compensation:

- Estimated 2013 Base Compensation Level: Mr. Bengelsdorf's estimated 2013 Base Compensation will be \$600,000 payable in twelve monthly installments of \$50,000 conditioned on a minimum of 2,100 hours worked (if there is a shortfall based on actual hours worked during the year that shortfall amount would be deducted from the Stay Bonus otherwise payable, if more than 2,100 hours are worked no additional amount will be paid beyond the "base" pay).
- Please Note: In order to present Base Compensation in the same manner as other Home employees and to develop an "apples-to-apples" comparison with market data, we have adjusted the Base Compensation to reflect the fact that Mr. Bengelsdorf does not receive employee benefits from Home. As an independent consultant, Mr. Bengelsdorf, pays the full Social Security tax (employer and employee share) on his compensation. He does not receive any health and welfare, vacation, paid holidays, retirement or severance benefits from Home.
 - Specifically, our experience indicates that the typical cost of employee benefits 0 offered to Home employees is approximately 25% of employee base salary.
 - The estimated 2013 Base Compensation of \$600,000 (assumes minimal non-Home 0 related activities), has been adjusted downward to reflect the absence of this typical benefit load/cost to Mr. Bengelsdorf.
 - This adjustment results in an estimated 2013 Base Compensation of \$480,000 (or \$600.000/1.25)

2. Performance Bonus or Annual Incentive ("AI") Bonus Structure

The current and estimated Performance Bonus is established and determined by the Liquidator in accordance with the process described below.

- Annually, at the outset of the plan cycle, the Liquidator sets the annual goals for this plan (e.g. success in marshalling assets, organization performance within budget, implementation of an effective claim determination operation, extent of early access distributions, obtaining an appropriate independent auditor opinion, timely and accurate reporting to the Liquidator and the Court throughout the performance year).
- After the end of the plan cycle, the Liquidator evaluates Mr. Bengelsdorf's performance with respect to each of those goals and determines the AI bonus based upon those accomplishments.
- Estimated 2013 Performance Bonus "Al" Target Level: In 2006, Mr. Bengelsdorf asked to lower his targeted Performance Bonus amount from a target dollar amount of \$400,000 to \$300,000. Additionally, in 2009, Mr. Bengelsdorf asked to lower his targeted Performance Bonus amount from a target dollar amount of \$300,000 to \$200,000. These requests were approved and Mr. Bengelsdorf's Target AI level remained at \$200,000 for 2009 and 2010. In 2011, Mr. Bengelsdorf again requested to lower his Target AI to \$175,000 and further requests a reduction in 2013 to \$150,000. Mr. Bengelsdorf's estimated AI Performance Bonus opportunity for 2013 is \$150,000



Commissioner Sevigny State of New Hampshire Ins. Dept Page 4

covering the period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013 payable on or after December 20, 2013.

- Please Note: Payment of the "Al" Bonus will be pro-rated in the event Mr. Bengelsdorf is terminated without cause. In the event of death or disability, such amount will be paid in full. The AI Bonus was \$400,000 in 2005, \$300,000 in 2006 through 2008, \$200,000 in 2009 and 2010, \$175,000 in 2011 and 2012 and \$150,000 in 2013.
- 3. "Stay" Bonus
 - Estimated 2013 Stay Bonus Compensation Level: Mr. Bengelsdorf's estimated "Stay" Bonus opportunity is \$400,000 (which would cover the twelve month period from January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013) payable on or after December 20, 2013.
 - Please Note: Payment of the "Stay" Bonus will be pro-rated in the event Mr. Bengelsdorf . is terminated without cause. In the event of death or disability, such amount will be paid in full.

FINDINGS – COMPETITIVENESS OF COMPENSATION TO MARKET LEVELS

Among healthy companies, TDC typically reflects an incumbent's base salary plus annual and long-term incentives. For purposes of assessing the competitiveness of Mr. Bengelsdorf's TDC to market, TDC for Mr. Bengelsdorf reflects Base Compensation plus a Performance Bonus and "Stay" Bonus. Generally, under EY's methodology, a level of pay that is 85% to 115% of the market consensus at the desired market position (typically 50th percentile, to 75th percentile) is considered competitive.

Mr. Bengelsdorf's estimated 2013 TDC, after adjusting the estimated Base Compensation by 25% to account for the absence of his participation in the employee benefits currently provided to Home employees (and normally provided to persons occupying similar positions), is significantly less than competitive (or 63.4%) of median market levels and is significantly less than competitive (or 43.5%) of 75th percentile market levels. Please note that Mr. Bengelsdorf's estimated 2013 Total Cash Compensation (TCC, which is base salary plus annual incentives) is highly competitive (or 124.6%) of median market levels and is competitive (or 85.9%) of 75th percentile market levels.



Commissioner Seviany State of New Hampshire Ins. Dept Page 5

Exhibit I

Estimated 2013 Compensation

| | HomeInsurance | 25TH PERCENTILE | 50TH PERCENTILE | 75TH PERCENTILE | Overall Competitiveness (2) | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------|
| | Current | Market | Market | Market | 25th | 50th | 7 5th |
| Position | Compensation (1) | Consensus | Consensus | Consensus | Percentile | Percentile | Percentile |
| ChiefExecutive Officer (3) | Peter Bengelsdorf | | | | | | |
| Base Salary Adjusted | \$480.0 | \$369.2 | \$508.8 | \$671.9 | 130.0% | 94.3% | 71.4% |
| Performance Bonus as a % of Base | 31.3% | 37.1% | 62.4% | 78.4% | | | |
| Performance Bonus | \$150.0 | \$136.9 | \$317.7 | \$526.8 | | | |
| "Stay" Bonus | \$400.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | | | |
| Target Total Cash Compensation (4) | \$1,030.0 | \$506.1 | \$826.5 | \$1,198.7 | 203.5% | 124.6% | 85.9% |
| Long-term Incentive as a % of Base (| 0.0% | 67.5% | 156.8% | 230.0% | | | |
| Long-term Incentive (6) | \$0.0 | \$343.4 | \$797.5 | \$1,170.2 | | | |
| Total Direct Compensation (7) | \$1,030.0 | \$849.5 | \$1,624.0 | \$2,368.9 | 121.2% | 63.4% | 43.5% |

(1) Assumes 2013 base salary and assumes achievement at arget values for incentive compensation (e.g., annual incentive or long-term incentive).

(2) Incumbent projected 2013 compensation as noted in (1) above compared to market consensus.

(3) The market consensus data is representative of a blend of CEO and COO positions from each of the various survey sources.

(4) Total Cash Compensation = Market Consensus Base Salary + Market Consensus Annual Incentive (Actual)

(5) Long-term incentive multiple is a blend of Black-Scholes multiples provided by William M. Mercer and Towers Watson.

(6) Long-term incentive value is derived by multiplying the median base salary by the applicable percentile LTI multiple.

(7) Total Direct Compensation = Market Consensus Total Cash Compensation + Market Consensus Long-term Incentive.

| SCOPE FACTORS | SURVEY SOURCES |
|---|--|
| industry: Property & Casually Insurance Carriers, Insurance, Financial Services, All Organizations Assets Cuts: \$1.9 Billion, \$1.5 Billion . \$10.0 Billion, less than \$7.0 Billion | Economic Research Institute: Executive Compensation Assessor 2012 Towers Watson: Survey Report on Top Management Compensation 2011-2012 |
| Geographic: National Data | Mercer HR Consulting: US Executive Survey Report 2011 |
| Trend Factor: 3.0% to January 1, 2013 based on the WorldatNork Total Salary Increase Budget Survey's | LOMA: Executive Compensation Survey 2012 |
| 2011 projected increases for executives in the finance and insurance industry (median). | WorldatWork: 2012-13 Salary Budget Survey |

Please note: Under EY's methodology, a competitive compensation level is defined as one which falls within an 85% to 115% range of the indicated market consensus level.

SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS

Overall, the TDC for the Special Deputy Liquidator represents a program that provides variable or performance-based compensation while also encouraging a continuation of the existing relationship. The TDC for the Special Deputy Liquidator, if performance objectives are achieved. is estimated to be \$1.030 million for 2013 (note, the Special Deputy Liquidator receives no employee benefits from Home; therefore, the base salary was adjusted by 25%).

Based on our review, we find that the Special Deputy Liquidator's estimated 2013 TDC is significantly less than competitive compared to the market median (50th percentile); however, we note that TCC is *highly competitive* compared to the market median (50th percentile).



Commissioner Sevigny State of New Hampshire Ins. Dept Page 6

We sincerely appreciate the opportunity to continue to provide human resource advisory assistance to the Liquidator on this engagement. Please do not hesitate to call Martha Cook at 404.817.5734 or Ana Fluke at 216.583.4783 if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Ernst + Young LLP

Copies to: Martha Cook, EY - Atlanta Ana Fluke, EY - Cleveland